

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,604	11/10/2003	Shunpei Yamazaki	0553-0381	6065
COOK, ALEX	7590 02/27/2007 , MCFARRON, MANZO,	EXAMINER		
CUMMINGS &	& MEHLER, LTD.	LIN, JAMES		
Suite 2850 200 West Adam	ns St.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60	606	1762		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		02/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$			
Office Action Summary		10/705,604	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	`			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jimmy Lin	1762				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre)ss			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI	V IS SET TO EXPIDE 4 F	MONTU(S) OF THIFTY (20)	DAVE			
WHI(- Exte after - If N(- Failt Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING (Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tte, cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	•	•				
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🛛	Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers		•				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) Dobjected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-	-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a))						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in	Application No	•			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	· ·	en received in this National St	age			
	application from the International Bure		- t t d				
•	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	n received.				
Attachme	·		0 (070 (10)				
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice o 6) Other:	f Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/705,604 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-28, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 66.
 - II. Claim 29, drawn to a device, classified in class 313, subclass 483.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the device of invention II can be made by ejecting a solution from above.
- Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
 - 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
 - 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1: Embodiment Mode 1 (solvent volatilization before reaching substrate)

Species 2: Embodiment Mode 2 (ejection of gel)

Species 3: Embodiment Mode 3 (solvent volatilization after reaching substrate)

The species are independent or distinct because they are mutually exclusive.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,604

Art Unit: 1762

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 is generic.

Page 3

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-8902. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

IL 7C

> KEITH HENDRICKS PRIMARY EXAMINER